On March 17, 2014, new federal Medicaid rules for Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) went into effect. The rules impact many parts of HCBS. One of the most important topics is the places where HCBS can be provided.

Because HCBS programs are offered as alternatives to nursing and intermediate care facility services, the new rules make sure that HCBS are provided in settings that are not institutional in nature. To follow this rule, states must make sure that HCBS settings are part of a larger community, people are able to have choices about their service settings, and that people are assured their rights to privacy, dignity and respect.

States must evaluate their HCBS programs to determine the level of compliance with the new rules. The setting indicated on this form has been identified as requiring to go through the heightened scrutiny process as part of the compliance process.

Additional information on Heightened Scrutiny can be found here: HCBS Settings Rule: Heightened Scrutiny

Setting Information

Site Name:	Oak Ridge Assisted Living			156	
Site Address:	463 Rawlins Cir, Centerville, UT 84014				
Website:	https://oakridgealfutah.com/centerville.html				
# of Individuals Served at this location regardless of funding:		8	# of Medicaid Indivi Served at this location		8
Waiver(s) Served:		HCBS Provider Type:			
☐ Acquired Br	ain injury		☐ Day Support Services		
☐ Aging Waive	er		☐ Adult Day Care		
☐ Community Supports		Residential Facility			
☐ Community Transition		☐ Supported Living			
New Choices ■ New		☐ Employment Preparation Services			
Description of Waivers can be found here:			. ,		
https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/					
Heightened Scrutiny Prong:					
☐ Prong 1: Setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment					
\square Prong 2: Setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution					
☑ Prong 3: From the initial assessment, the setting was found to have the effect of isolating individuals from the					
broader community. The following is the area that was identified:					
☑ A. Individuals have limited, if any, opportunities for interaction in and with the broader community					
and /or the setting is physically located separate and apart from the broader community and					
does not facilitate individual opportunity to access the broader community and participate in					

community services consistent with their person centered service plan				
\square B. The setting restricts individuals choice to receive services or to engage in activities outside of the				
setting				
☑ C.	☑ C. The setting has qualities that are institutional in nature. These can include:			
•	The sett	ting has policies and practices which control the behaviors of individuals; are rigid in		
	their scl	hedules; have multiple restrictive practices in place		
•	The set	ting does not ensure an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect		
Onsite Visit(s) Co	onducted:	8/23/2019 (in-person)		
Description of Se	etting:			
Oak Ridge Assist	ed Living is	located in Centerville. This site is currently serving eight New Choices Waiver (NCW)		
participants. The	setting is lo	ocated in a residential neighborhood off a main road with multiple community		
resources availab	ole.			
Current Standing	g of Setting	:		
☐ Currently Con	npliant: the	setting has overcome the qualities identified above		
☑ Approved Ren	nediation P	lan: the setting has an approved remediation plan demonstrating how it will come		
		oved timeline for compliance is: Remediation plan has been completed and the		
•		for December 2022.		
Evidence the Setting is Fully Compliant or Will Be Fully Compliant				
Prong 1: The set	ting is in a p	publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment;		
the setting over	comes this	presumption of an institutional setting.		
Compliance:	☐ Met	\square Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant $\ oxedsymbol{oxed}$ Not Applicable		
•	_	building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; the		
setting overcom	es this pres	sumption of an institutional setting.		
Compliance:	☐ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable		
_	_	egrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the		
greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings,				
engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same				
degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.				
Compliance:	☐ Met	☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant		
		isit Summary (8/23/2019):		
		e onsite visit, it was observed that there were limited active measures taking place to		
Summary:	facilitate a	activities outside of the setting. It was determined that the setting does not provide		
	transporta	ation however the location of the setting is located near the community and residents n walking distance of multiple community options. The setting keeps residents up to		

date on upcoming community events and residents have control over their schedules. Resident Council meetings are held to gain input from residents on what is important to them.

The setting is located in a residential neighborhood off a main road with multiple community resources available. Residents are able to come and go as much as they choose to and they go out daily if they choose to.

One resident reported most everything he needs is within walking distance. He uses NCW transportation services for things that are not within walking range.

Another resident reported he has a scooter and he can go anywhere he wants in the community as often as he wants.

The setting does not provide transportation; they facilitate transportation such as public transportation, NCW transportation services, and friends and family. The setting informs residents about upcoming community events. Residents are able to control their schedule and activities. Resident council meetings are held to get input on what is important to the residents.

Remediation Plan Summary:

Being a smaller building activities ranging from movies in the theater room to regular bingo

Being a smaller building activities ranging from movies in the theater room to regular bingo games are scheduled and implemented based on the residents desires. Direct feedback and communication happens in real time. Oak Ridge maintains a policy of identifying resident needs through care plans and collaboration with the activities director to ensure the residents fully integrate to the greater community. The staff meet almost monthly to discuss the specific needs of each resident. Constant interpersonal communication occurs to ensure the unique needs and preferences of each resident are met.

Policy/Document Review:

The following were reviewed for compliance:

Employee orientation checklist

Prong 3 B: The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific			
settings.			
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant		
Summary:	Onsite Visit Summary (8/23/2019): Individuals choose this facility to stay in their community. The setting does not restrict access to any non-disability settings and facilitates access when requested.		

Prong 3 C: The setting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in			
making life choices. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from			
coercion and restraint. The setting ensures the individual has the freedom and support to control his/her own			
schedule and activities.			
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant		
	Onsite Visit Summary (8/23/2019):		
Summary:	It was observed that residents could sit anywhere they choose in the dining room and that they		
	could ask for an alternate meal. Residents can also ask for soup/salad/sandwiches at any time.		

Individuals have access to food at any time. Residents are able to decorate their rooms as they choose. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect. There was no observed personal information posted.

During the onsite visit, it was determined that the setting did not optimize autonomy and independence in making life choices including input on meals from residents. Residents reported they did not know how to request a new place to live and they were not aware of what services they receive or what is available to them.

Remediation Plan Summary:

An all staff meeting is held monthly where individuals rights are discussed. Additionally menus and resident wants are discussed at this meeting. A resident has a volunteering job. Close to Walmart and other community access. The setting reports they have an active population. The community can be accessed independently or with transport services. Some residents have their own cars and still drive. The provider will provide information to residents on available services.

Policy/Document Review:

The following were reviewed for compliance:

- Door lock photo
- Laundry room photo
- Open kitchenette photo

Overall, the setting enforces the Home and Community-Based Settings Regulation requirements.			
Compliance:	☐ Met ☑ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant		
Summary:	Overall, all segregating and institutional concerns were addressed through their remediation plan and the State will conduct their final validation to ensure compliance through a validation visit in December 2022. Staff are trained on HCBS requirements both upon hire and ongoing. As indicated below, this setting will also be reviewed through ongoing monitoring activities.		

Input from Individuals Served and Staff

Individuals	Summary of interviews (2019):			
	An individual indicated that they are able to manage their own money.			
	An individual indicated that they walk to stores near the facility.			
Served	An individual indicated that they like to talk to people when they are out in the			
Summary:	community.			
Summary.	 An individual indicated that they choose where to sit at meals. 			
	 An individual indicated that they can have visitors at any time. 			
	An individual indicated that they picked to live in this facility.			
	Summary of interviews (2019):			
Staff	Staff indicated that they have monthly staff meetings.			
Summary:	Staff indicated that they have face to face conversations about people's wants.			
	Staff indicated that people can choose what activities they participate in.			

Ongoing Remediation Activities			
Current Standing: ☐ Currently Compliant ☑ Approved Remediation Plan			
Continued Remediation	The provider has identified areas for remediation to come into Settings compliance.		
Activities			
	The State will use the following tools to ensure settings continue compliance with the Settings Rule criteria:		
	Conducting individual served experience surveys		
Ongoing Monitoring	 Addressing settings compliance during the annual person centered service planning process 		
Activities	Ongoing provider training and certification		
	Monitoring through critical incident reporting		
	Case Management/Support Coordinator visit monitoring		
	HCBS Waiver Reviews/Audits		

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Comments Received and State Response:

No Commonts	Setting Specific Comments:		
Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023			
•	5 1		

No Comments

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The materials provided by the State in the newly-released evidentiary packets raise concerns about whether the identified settings currently demonstrate the qualities of HCBS. In most instances, the state has only completed a final desk review and/or virtual review instead of an in-person visit. We believe that this is insufficient to confirm that a setting does not isolate individuals or have the indices of an institution.

Response:

The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process.

Comment:

In many instances, the packages state that the setting is compliant based on a remediation plan and indicate that a validation visit will be completed in the future. Many of the reviews state that individuals are not getting into the community to the degree they would wish and that there are still institution-like restrictions on individuals in the settings. The state needs to give the results of final validations to the work group and other stakeholders before it can submit the setting to CMS for heightened scrutiny.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process.

Comment:

The reviews in many instances lack the detail necessary to determine whether a setting is institutional/segregating. For example, there are reviews of 14c certificate holders that do not indicate whether the setting will pay subminimum wage moving forward. Reviews indicate that individuals access the community, but in many instances don't specify how large the groups are, what types of activities they engage in and the frequency with which activities occur. Some reviews mention work groups/work enclaves, but do not specify what type of work individuals engage in, where people work and how large the work groups are. The reviews frequently say that the setting does not restrict access to the community, that community amenities are within "miles" and that there is access to public transportation, but often do not specify how the facility supports individuals to access these amenities/public transportation.

Response:

While the State agrees that certain criteria can create concerns with compliance, several elements described do not determine on their own whether a setting meets or fails requirements. Individual settings are reviewed and assessed on their merit. For example, payment of sub-minimum wage work or group sizes in and of themselves are not including or excluding criteria. The state determines compliance based on factors such as person centered planning, individual choice and autonomy, individualized schedules, and individuals self-reporting they are accessing the community at the level that they desire.

Comment:

We are very concerned about how the state has handled non-residential settings, particularly large day programs and sheltered workshops. These reviews do not demonstrate that the state has ensured that these particularly problematic settings have remediated sufficient to comply with the settings rule as well as title II of the ADA and Olmstead. Again, many final reviews have not been completed in person, and most frequently the state is submitting sites that have submitted a remediation plan but have not been validated as remediated.

Response:

Settings must demonstrate compliance or demonstrate a plan along with the State's oversight to ensure completion of action's to certify they will become compliant prior to March 17, 2023 before the State submits them through the heightened scrutiny process. The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process. While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Comment:

Reviews indicate that individuals are still being segregated by "level of functioning" and even by whether an individual resides in an ICF or an HCBS setting.

Response:

The State agrees that settings identified as having this concern are institution and segregating in nature. The purpose of the heightened scrutiny process was to identify settings that were institutional and segregating in nature and go through the process of showing how they overcame those qualities. Settings submitting for heightened scrutiny were required to remediate through training of staff, provide evidence of compliance, and demonstrate compliance through validation that they were compliant in these areas of concern.

Comment:

Reviews do not indicate that the EPR codes which contemplate meaningful, individualized, time-limited prevocational programs are being implemented in Workshops. Reviews do not indicate that individuals are spending at least 20% of their time in the community engaging in activities chosen by the individual. Reviews do not (for the most part) indicate whether or not the provider is continuing to pay subminimum wage. Reviews do not consider what type of work individuals engage in the setting and whether or not that work is chosen by the individual. Frequently, specificity as to how many individuals are working in a group is not given. Frequently, information about how settings are supporting individuals to gain competitive, integrated employment as guaranteed by the settings rule is not given.

Response:

As with all settings, the State's review was for the purpose of determining whether the tenants of the Settings Rule had been met, regardless of which specific services were delivered at the location. The State has separate compliance monitoring for the appropriate authorization of Employment Preparation Services and the delivery of those services by providers.

Comment:

Frequently, reviews indicate that there are still restrictive practices in the settings indicating an institution-like environment.

Response:

The State agrees that many reviews indicated settings still had restrictive practices in place indicating an institution-like environment as they had not yet gone through their final validation process at the time they went out for heightened scrutiny. The State has spent considerable time with settings and providers providing technical assistance beyond what was documented in their remediation plans to remediate their institutional and segregating characteristics to come into compliance with the rule.

Summary of Public Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: December 12, 2022 to January 13, 2023

General Comments Received:

Comment:

The Disability Law Center (DLC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the HCBS Settings Rule Heightened Scrutiny process as both a member of the settings stakeholder committee and through the public comment process. As the Protection and Advocacy agency for people with disabilities for the State of Utah, the Disability Law Center ("DLC") is uniquely suited to provide assistance and input during this process. Based on our

own observations as the P&A as well as our evaluation of the state's assessments of settings, the state did not engage in a sufficient assessment process or provide adequate support to bring settings into compliance with the rule prior to the February 2023 deadline. We are concerned that HCBS waiver dollars will continue to be spent on segregated, institutional settings despite the state's obligations under the HCBS settings rule, Title II of the ADA and *Olmstead*. This heightened scrutiny evidentiary package demonstrates these ongoing concerns as detailed below.

Response:

While the State does acknowledge that activities for remediation extended into March 2023, it does not believe strategies deviated from its Statewide Transition Plan, or that inappropriate methods were used in validating compliance. The State also acknowledges that Settings compliance is not a one time activity and the usage of ongoing monitoring will aid to reinforce core tenants of the Rule as well as the development and dissemination of best practices. The State encourages the submission of providers who are believed to be non-compliant with requirements of the Settings Rule, including the elements/criteria which are not sufficiently meeting expectations.

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Recommendation:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: December 14, 2022- December 29, 2022

Only one member of the Stakeholder Workgroup Responded. Their specific comments are noted above.

Utah's Recommendation

Recommendation: Compliant

At the time the heightened scrutiny packet was submitted for public comment, the State had not completed the final validation visit. The State has since completed the final validation visit and determined the setting has overcome the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community and is in compliance with the HCBS Settings Rule.